arXiv:1411.0524v1 [math.DG] 3 Nov 2014

# A formula for the trace of symmetric powers of matrices

Jose Luis Cisneros[*], Rafael Herrera[†‡] and Noemi Santana[§¶]

November 4, 2014

**Abstract**

We present a formula for the trace of any symmetric power of a $n \times n$ matrix (with coefficients in a field) in terms of the ordinary powers of the matrix, an arbitrarily chosen linear function which vanishes on the identity matrix, and $n-2$ polynomial functions defined recursively.

## 1 Introduction

This paper answers a question posed in [2] regarding the trace of symmetric powers of a square matrix of arbitrary size. More precisely, in [2] it was proved that given a matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ with $b \neq 0$, and it $k$-th power $A^k = \begin{pmatrix} a_k & b_k \\ c_k & d_k \end{pmatrix}$, the quantity $b_{k+1}/b$ is invariant under conjugation, and furthermore,

$$\frac{b_{k+1}}{b} = \mathbf{tr}(S^k A),$$

where $S^k A$ is the $k$-th symmetric power of $A$, that is, the matrix giving the induced transformation on homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$.

Thus, the natural question is the following: can this result be generalized to $n \times n$ matrices by using entries of powers of $A$? Here, we answer this question in the affirmative in a slightly modified form (see Theorem 3.1). The main of the theorem is that the traces of arbitrary symetric powers of arbitrary matrices can be calculated by using a finite number of polynomial functions.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the two identities we need, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and a $K$-theory formula, and also spot their similarity. In Section 3, we define the functions we need to state Theorem 3.1, and prove it. We also give some corollaries. In Section 4 we give the explicit expressions for the various functions involved in the formulas for the cases $n = 3, 4$.

*Acknowledgements.* The second named author would like to thank the International Centre for Theoretical Physics (Italy) and the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (France) for their hospitality and support.

[*]Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM, Unidad Cuernavaca, A.P. 6–60, C.P. 62131, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México. E-mail: jlcm@matcuer.unam.mx

[†]Centro de Investigación en Matemáticas, A. P. 402, Guanajuato, Gto., C.P. 36000, México. E-mail: rherrera@cimat.mx

[‡]Partially supported by grants of CONACyT and LAISLA (CONACyT-CNRS)

[§]Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM, Unidad Cuernavaca, A.P. 6–60, C.P. 62131, Cuernavaca, Morelos, México. E-mail: noemi.santana@im.unam.mx

[¶]Partially supported by grants of CONACyT and LAISLA (CONACyT-CNRS).

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $V$ be a vector space over a field $K$, $S^kV$ and $\bigwedge^k V$ denote its $k$-th symmetric and antisymmetric tensor powers respectively.

### 2.1 Cayley-Hamilton theorem

The characteristic polynomial of a $n \times n$ matrix $A$ can be expressed as

$$p_A(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^i A)\, t^{n-i},$$

where $\mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^i A)$ is the trace of the $i$-th exterior power of $A$. The Cayley-Hamilton theorem states that the matrix $A$ satisfies its own characteristic polynomial, i.e.

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^i A)\, A^{n-i}.$$

This matrix identity can be multiplied by any power of $A$

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^i A)\, A^{n-i+p}. \tag{1}$$

### 2.2 K-theory formula

On the other hand, consider the K-theory formula [1, p.119]

$$\bigwedge^k (V - W) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} (-1)^i \bigwedge^{k-i} V \otimes S^i W.$$

We will apply this formula when $W = V$. Recall that $\bigwedge^{n+i} V = 0$ for $i \geq 1$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \bigwedge^{n+p} (V - V) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n+p} (-1)^i \bigwedge^{n+p-i} V \otimes S^i V \\
&= \bigwedge^{n+p} V - \bigwedge^{n+p-1} V \otimes V + \bigwedge^{n+p-2} V \otimes S^2 V + \ldots + (-1)^{n+p-1} V \otimes S^{n+p-1} V + (-1)^{n+p} S^{n+p} V \\
&= (-1)^p \bigwedge^n V \otimes S^p V + (-1)^{p+1} \bigwedge^{n-1} V \otimes S^{p+1} V + \ldots + (-1)^{n+p-1} V \otimes S^{n+p-1} V + (-1)^{n+p} S^{n+p} V \\
&= (-1)^p \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \bigwedge^{n-i} V \otimes S^{p+i} V
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j S^{n+p-j} V \otimes \bigwedge^j V.$$

This identity goes through to the induced operators $S^kA$ and $\bigwedge^k A$ on the symmetric and antisymmetric powers $S^kV$ and $\bigwedge^k V$, and is preserved by the trace

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \mathbf{tr}(S^{n+p-j} A) \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^j A). \tag{2}$$

### 2.3 Similarity

Here we notice the similarity between the two identities (1)

$$0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^j A)\, A^{n+p-j}$$

and (2)

$$0 \;=\; \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^j A)\, \mathbf{tr}(S^{n+p-j} A),$$

whose coefficients are

$$(-1)^j \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^j A).$$

Thus, we will use these two formulas to prove the main theorem.

## 3 The formulae

Let $A$ be an arbitrary $n \times n$ matrix with coefficients in a field $K$, $A \in M_{n\times n}(K)$. Let $\sigma_1$ be any linear functional on $M_{n\times n}(K)$ which vanishes on the identity matrix. We define $n-2$ polynomial functions $\sigma_i$, $i = 2, \ldots, n-1$, in the following recursive way:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_1(A^2) & = & \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(A) - \sigma_2(A) \\ \sigma_1(A^3) & = & \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^2 A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(A) + \sigma_3(A) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(A^{n-1}) & = & \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{n-2}A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{n-3}A) + \cdots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A) \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

Now, we have $n-1$ identities

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \mathbf{tr}(S^k A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n}A) & = & 0 \\ \mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1} A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2}A) + \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-3}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n-1}A) & = & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2} A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+1}A) + \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2n+2}A) & = & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Multiply them by $(-1)^0\sigma_1(A), (-1)^1\sigma_2(A), \ldots, (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)$ respectively

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \sigma_1(A)[\mathbf{tr}(S^k A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n}A)] & = & 0 \\ -\sigma_2(A)[\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1} A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n-1}A)] & = & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)[\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2} A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+1}A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2n+2}A)] & = & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

and add them all up to get

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 0 & = & [\sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^k A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2}A)] \\ & & -[\sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+1}A)]\mathbf{tr}(A) \\ & & +[\sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2}A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-3}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-4}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n}A)]\mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A) \\ & & \vdots \\ & & +(-1)^n[\sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n}A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-2n+2}A)]\mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A). \end{array} \tag{4}$$

In order to simplify the notation, let us define

$$P^{k+1}(A) := \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^k A) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2}A),$$

so that equation (4) becomes

$$P^{k+1}(A) - \mathbf{tr}(A)P^k(A) + \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A)P^{k-1}(A) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)P^{k-n+1}(A) = 0$$

which is the same equation as the one fulfilled by $\sigma_1(A^k)$ due to the Cayley-Hamilton theorem and the linearity of $\sigma_1$

$$\sigma_1(A^{k+1}) - \mathbf{tr}(A)\sigma_1(A^k) + \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^2 A)\sigma_1(A^{k-1}) + \cdots + (-1)^n \mathbf{tr}(\bigwedge^n A)\sigma_1(A^{k-n+1}) = 0,$$

where $k \geq n-1$. Since they are recursive formulas, all we have to do is check that the first $n-1$ terms coincide, i.e. we have to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(A^0) &= P^0(A), \\ \sigma_1(A^1) &= P^1(A), \\ \vdots &\quad \vdots \\ \sigma_1(A^{n-1}) &= P^{n-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

To begin with, recall that $\mathbf{tr}(S^{-s}A) = 0$ for $s \geq 1$, so that $\sigma_1 = P^1$. Next, the identities for $\sigma_2(A), \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}(A)$ are exactly the recursive definition of such functions. Hence

$$\sigma_1(A^{k+1}) = \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^kA) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2}A)$$

for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, we have proved the following.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let $K$ be a field, $A \in M_{n\times n}(K)$, $\sigma_1$ an arbitrary linear funtional on $M_{n\times n}$ which vanishes on $\mathrm{Id}_{n\times n}$, and polynomial functions $\sigma_j$, $2 \leq j \leq n-1$ defined recursively in (3). Then*

$$\sigma_1(A^{k+1}) = \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^kA) - \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-2}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2}A)$$

*for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, if $\sigma_1(A) \neq 0$*

$$\mathbf{tr}(S^kA) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1(A)}[\sigma_1(A^{k+1}) + \sigma_2(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-1}A) + \ldots + (-1)^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^{k-n+2}A)].$$

□

This means that any $\mathbf{tr}(S^kA)$ can be written in terms of values $\sigma_i(A^j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq k+1$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

First, we recover the result in [2].

**Corollary 3.1** *For a $2 \times 2$ matrix $A$*

$$\sigma_1(A^{k+1}) = \sigma_1(A)\mathbf{tr}(S^kA)$$

*for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\sigma_1(A) \neq 0$*

$$\mathbf{tr}(S^kA) = \frac{\sigma_1(A^{k+1})}{\sigma_1(A)}.$$

□

One can deduce related formulas for $n = 3, 4$.

**Corollary 3.2** *For a* $3 \times 3$ *matrix* $A$*, if* $\sigma_1(A) \neq 0$*,*

$$\mathbf{tr}(S^k A) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1(A)} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} \left( \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^i \sigma_1(A^{k+1-i}) \right] + \left( \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^{k-1} \frac{\sigma_1(A^2) + \sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)}.$$

□

**Corollary 3.3** *For a* $4 \times 4$ *matrix* $A$*, if* $\sigma_1(A) \neq 0$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{tr}(S^k A) = & \frac{1}{\sigma_1(A)} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{k-3}{2}]} \left( \frac{\sigma_3(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^j (-1)^j \sum_{i=0}^{k-(2j+3)} \binom{i+j}{j} \left( \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^i \sigma_1(A^{k+1-i-2j}) \right] \\
& + \left( \frac{\sigma_1(A^3) - \sigma_3(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} + \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \frac{\sigma_1(A^2) + \sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{k-3}{2}]} (-1)^j \binom{k-2-j}{j} \left( \frac{\sigma_3(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^j \left( \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^{k-2-2j} \\
& - \frac{\sigma_1(A^2) + \sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \left( \frac{\sigma_3(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{[\frac{k-3}{2}]} (-1)^j \binom{k-3-j}{j} \left( \frac{\sigma_3(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^j \left( \frac{\sigma_2(A)}{\sigma_1(A)} \right)^{k-3-2j}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

# 4 Examples

## 4.1 Case $n = 3$

Setting $\sigma_1$ equal to the various linear functionals of $3 \times 3$ matrices vanishing on the identity matrix, we have the accompanying functions $\sigma_2$ as follows:

| $\sigma_1(A)$ | $\sigma_2(A)$ |
|---|---|
| $a_{12}$ | $a_{12}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{32}$ |
| $a_{13}$ | $a_{13}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{23}$ |
| $a_{23}$ | $a_{11}a_{23} - a_{21}a_{13}$ |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{21}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{31}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{31}a_{22} - a_{32}a_{21}$ |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{32}a_{11} - a_{31}a_{12}$ |
| $a_{11} - a_{22}$ | $a_{11}a_{33} - a_{22}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{31} + a_{23}a_{32}$ |
| $a_{11} - a_{33}$ | $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{22}a_{33} - a_{12}a_{21} + a_{23}a_{32}$ |

### 4.2 Case $n = 4$

Setting $\sigma_1$ equal to the various linear functionals of $3 \times 3$ matrices vanishing on the identity matrix, we have the accompanying functions $\sigma_2$ and $\sigma_3$ as follows:

| $\sigma_1(A)$ | $\sigma_2(A)$ | $\sigma_3(A)$ |
|---|---|---|
| $a_{12}$ | $a_{12}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{44} - a_{13}a_{32} - a_{14}a_{42}$ | $a_{12}a_{33}a_{44} - a_{12}a_{34}a_{43} - a_{32}a_{13}a_{44}$ $+a_{32}a_{14}a_{43} + a_{42}a_{13}a_{34} - a_{42}a_{14}a_{33}$ |
| $a_{13}$ | $a_{13}a_{22} + a_{13}a_{44} - a_{12}a_{23} - a_{14}a_{43}$ | $-a_{12}a_{23}a_{44} + a_{12}a_{24}a_{43} + a_{22}a_{13}a_{44}$ $-a_{22}a_{14}a_{43} - a_{42}a_{13}a_{24} + a_{42}a_{14}a_{23}$ |
| $a_{14}$ | $a_{14}a_{22} + a_{14}a_{33} - a_{12}a_{24} - a_{13}a_{34}$ | $-a_{22}a_{13}a_{34} + a_{22}a_{14}a_{33} + a_{32}a_{13}a_{24}$ $-a_{32}a_{14}a_{23} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{34} - a_{12}a_{24}a_{33}$ |
| $a_{23}$ | $a_{23}a_{11} + a_{23}a_{44} - a_{21}a_{13} - a_{24}a_{43}$ | $a_{43}a_{21}a_{14} + a_{13}a_{24}a_{41} + a_{23}a_{11}a_{44}$ $-a_{23}a_{14}a_{41} - a_{21}a_{13}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{43}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{24}$ | $a_{24}a_{11} + a_{24}a_{33} - a_{21}a_{14} - a_{23}a_{34}$ | $-a_{24}a_{13}a_{31} + a_{14}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{34}a_{21}a_{13}$ $+a_{24}a_{11}a_{33} - a_{21}a_{14}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{34}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{34}$ | $a_{34}a_{11} + a_{34}a_{22} - a_{31}a_{14} - a_{32}a_{24}$ | $-a_{34}a_{12}a_{21} + a_{14}a_{32}a_{21} + a_{34}a_{11}a_{22}$ $+a_{24}a_{31}a_{12} - a_{31}a_{14}a_{22} - a_{32}a_{24}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{21}$ | $a_{21}a_{33} + a_{21}a_{44} - a_{23}a_{31} - a_{24}a_{41}$ | $a_{31}a_{24}a_{43} - a_{21}a_{34}a_{43} + a_{41}a_{23}a_{34}$ $+a_{21}a_{33}a_{44} - a_{23}a_{31}a_{44} - a_{24}a_{41}a_{33}$ |
| $a_{31}$ | $a_{31}a_{22} + a_{31}a_{44} - a_{32}a_{21} - a_{34}a_{41}$ | $a_{41}a_{32}a_{24} + a_{21}a_{34}a_{42} + a_{31}a_{22}a_{44}$ $-a_{31}a_{24}a_{42} - a_{32}a_{21}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{41}a_{22}$ |
| $a_{41}$ | $a_{41}a_{22} + a_{41}a_{33} - a_{42}a_{21} - a_{43}a_{31}$ | $a_{41}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{21}a_{43}a_{32} + a_{31}a_{42}a_{23}$ $-a_{41}a_{23}a_{32} - a_{42}a_{21}a_{33} - a_{43}a_{31}a_{22}$ |
| $a_{32}$ | $a_{32}a_{11} + a_{32}a_{44} - a_{31}a_{12} - a_{34}a_{42}$ | $a_{12}a_{34}a_{41} + a_{42}a_{31}a_{14} + a_{32}a_{11}a_{44}$ $-a_{32}a_{14}a_{41} - a_{31}a_{12}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{42}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{42}$ | $a_{42}a_{11} + a_{42}a_{33} - a_{41}a_{12} - a_{43}a_{32}$ | $a_{12}a_{43}a_{31} + a_{32}a_{41}a_{13} + a_{42}a_{11}a_{33}$ $-a_{42}a_{13}a_{31} - a_{41}a_{12}a_{33} - a_{43}a_{32}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{43}$ | $a_{43}a_{11} + a_{43}a_{22} - a_{41}a_{13} - a_{42}a_{23}$ | $a_{43}a_{11}a_{22} - a_{43}a_{12}a_{21} + a_{13}a_{42}a_{21}$ $+a_{23}a_{41}a_{12} - a_{41}a_{13}a_{22} - a_{42}a_{23}a_{11}$ |
| $a_{11} - a_{22}$ | $a_{11}a_{33} - a_{22}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{44} - a_{22}a_{44}$ $-a_{13}a_{31} - a_{14}a_{41} + a_{23}a_{32} + a_{24}a_{42}$ | $-a_{14}a_{41}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{31}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{43}a_{11}$ $+a_{33}a_{44}a_{11} + a_{31}a_{14}a_{43} + a_{41}a_{13}a_{34}$ $-a_{42}a_{23}a_{34} + a_{42}a_{24}a_{33} - a_{22}a_{33}a_{44}$ $+a_{22}a_{34}a_{43} + a_{32}a_{23}a_{44} - a_{32}a_{24}a_{43}$ |
| $a_{11} - a_{33}$ | $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{22}a_{33} + a_{11}a_{44} - a_{33}a_{44}$ $-a_{12}a_{21} - a_{14}a_{41} + a_{23}a_{32} + a_{34}a_{43}$ | $a_{21}a_{14}a_{42} - a_{24}a_{42}a_{11} - a_{14}a_{41}a_{22}$ $+a_{11}a_{44}a_{22} + a_{41}a_{12}a_{24} - a_{42}a_{23}a_{34}$ $+a_{42}a_{24}a_{33} - a_{22}a_{33}a_{44} + a_{22}a_{34}a_{43}$ $+a_{32}a_{23}a_{44} - a_{32}a_{24}a_{43} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{44}$ |
| $a_{11} - a_{44}$ | $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{22}a_{44} + a_{11}a_{33} - a_{33}a_{44}$ $-a_{12}a_{21} - a_{13}a_{31} + a_{24}a_{42} + a_{34}a_{43}$ | $a_{31}a_{12}a_{23} + a_{21}a_{13}a_{32} - a_{23}a_{32}a_{11}$ $-a_{13}a_{31}a_{22} + a_{11}a_{33}a_{22} - a_{42}a_{23}a_{34}$ $+a_{42}a_{24}a_{33} - a_{22}a_{33}a_{44} + a_{22}a_{34}a_{43}$ $+a_{32}a_{23}a_{44} - a_{32}a_{24}a_{43} - a_{12}a_{21}a_{33}$ |

# References


[1] Atiyah, M. F.: K-theory. Lecture notes by D. W. Anderson W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam 1967 v+166+xlix pp.

[2] Cisneros-Molina, J. L.: An invariant of $2 \times 2$ matrices. Electron. J. Linear Algebra **13** (2005), 146–152.